



# Catalytic Activity of Mono- and Bimetallic Rhodium(I) and Iridium(I) Complexes Bearing Carbon-Ether-Carbon (COC) Ligand for the Intramolecular Cyclization of 4-Pentynoic Acid

Hugo Boddaert,<sup>[a,b]</sup> Lewis C. Tolley,<sup>[c]</sup> Carmen Mejuto,<sup>[d]</sup> Ainhoa González, <sup>[a]</sup> Marta Martín,<sup>[a]</sup> Eduardo Sola, <sup>[a]</sup> Israel Fernández,\*<sup>[e]</sup> Aino J. Karhu,<sup>[b]</sup> Daniela I. Bezuidenhout,\*<sup>[b],</sup> Gregorio Guisado-Barrios\*<sup>[a]</sup>

[a]	H. Boddaert, A. González, Dr. M. Martin, Dr. E. Sola, Dr. G. Guisado-Barrios
	Departamento de Química Inorgánica
	Instituto de Síntesis Química y Catálisis Homogénea (ISQCH), CSIC-Universidad de Zaragoza
	Calle de Pedro Cerbuna 12, 5009, Zaragoza, Spain
	E-mail: gguisado@unizar.es
[b]	H. Boddaert, Dr. A. J. Karhu, Prof. D. I. Bezuidenhout
	Laboratory of Inorganic Chemistry, Environmental and Chemical Engineering
	University of Oulu
	P.O Box 3000, FI-90014 Oulu, Finland
	E-mail: daniela.bezuidenhout@oulu.fi
[c]	Dr. L. C. Tolley
	Molecular Sciences Institute
	School of Chemistry, University of the Witwatersrand
	Johannesburg 2050, South Africa
[d]	Dr. C. Mejuto
	Institute of Advance Materials (INAM)
	Universitat Jaume I
	Avenida Vicente Sos Baynat s/n, 12071 Castellón, Spain
[e]	Prof. I. Fernández
	Departamento de Química Orgánica I and Centro de Innovación en Química Avanzada (ORFEO-CINQA)
	Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid
	28040, Madrid, Spain
	E-mail: israel@quim.ucm.es

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: The catalytic performance of a series of mono- and bimetallic Ir(I) and Rh(I) complexes bearing the (COC) bistriazolylidene ligand, coordinated in a bridging or chelating fashion, was evaluated in the cyclization of 4-pentynoic acid. The chelated mononuclear cationic complex  $[Ir(cod)(COC)]PF_6$  (cod = 1,5cyclooctadiene; COC = biscarbene ether) displayed the highest reaction rate for 4-pentynoic acid to form  $\gamma$ -methyl-ene- $\gamma$ butvrolactone (5-methylenedihydrofuran-2(3H)-one). Densitv Functional Theory calculations were carried out to rationalize the reaction mechanism which proceeds in three steps, namely coordination of the alkyne to the active catalyst followed by intramolecular cyclization and final reductive elimination. Its catalytic performance was further assessed against different substituted 4pentynoic acid derivatives.

#### Introduction

The structural pattern of lactones makes these organic molecules important commodities for multiple synthetic applications. They are important intermediates in neurodegenerative diseases,<sup>[1]</sup> synthesis of natural products,<sup>[2–7]</sup> controlled drug release strategies,<sup>[8]</sup> flavors and fragrances,<sup>[3]</sup> production of carbon fibers<sup>[9]</sup> and more recently were also employed in the production of fuels and chemicals based on renewable feedstocks.<sup>[10–13]</sup> The development of atom-economical methods for the preparation of lactones via intramolecular functionalization of alkynes has thus attracted much interest in recent years. In this context, several transition metal complexes based on Pd,<sup>[14–20]</sup> Pt,<sup>[21,22]</sup> Cu,<sup>[23]</sup> Au,<sup>[24–31]</sup> Rh,<sup>[32–34]</sup> and Ir<sup>[32,35–37]</sup> have been shown to be competent catalysts for this transformation (Scheme 1).

### RESEARCH ARTICLE





y-lactone derivatives

Scheme 1. Cyclization of acid-alkynes catalyzed by different transition metal complexes to generate lactone derivatives.

Inspired by the success in catalysis demonstrated by metal complexes containing aliphatic ligands, we recently reported the synthesis of a series of Rh(I) and Ir(I) complexes based on 1,2,3triazole-5-ylidenes (mesoionic carbenes, MICs)<sup>[38-44]</sup> (Figure 1), which can be readily prepared from the ether-bridged bis(triazolium) ligand precursor.[45]



Figure 1. Mono- and bimetallic Ir(I) and Rh(I) complexes 1-4 used in this study.

Their catalytic performance was evaluated in the hydrothiolation of terminal alkynes, resulting in very efficient and selective formation of the α-vinyl sulfide product.<sup>[46]</sup> Seeking to expand their applicability in a different alkyne transformation we have focused on the intramolecular cyclization of acetylenic carboxylic acids to alkylidene lactones. As mentioned earlier, this reaction is relevant to the pharmaceutical industry since it provides access to fivemembered oxygen-containing heterocycles.

Thus, we herein report the catalytic activity of cationic monometallic Ir(I) and Rh(I) 1-2 with formula [M(L)(COC)]PF<sub>6</sub> (M = Ir, Rh; L = cod,  $(CO)_2$ ) and neutral dinuclear Ir(I) and Rh(I) metal complexes 3-4 with formula  $[M_2(L)_2CI_2(\mu-COC)]$  (M = Ir, Rh; L = cod, (CO)<sub>2</sub>) towards the intramolecular cyclization of 4-pentynoic acid to form  $\gamma$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone. Among them, the iridium catalyst 1a monometallic  $[lr(cod)(COC)](PF_6)$ outperformed the related metal complexes in the series. Its catalytic activity was further explored towards the intramolecular cyclization of different acetylenic carboxylic acid derivatives and the involved mechanism elicited by the means of computational and experimental tools.

#### **Results and Discussion**

A perusal of the literature reveals that most reported examples dealing with this transformation involved rhodium(I) complexes, whereas only a few iridium(I) catalysts have been studied.[32,35,36] However a recent study demonstrated that a tetranuclear iridium(I) complex containing a tetra-NHC ligand based on a pyrene core could efficiently catalyze the reaction at 80°C in CD<sub>3</sub>CN, outperforming its related rhodium(I) derivative.<sup>[32]</sup> On this basis, we initially studied the performance of monometallic complexes  $1a [Ir(cod)(COC)](PF_6)$  and  $2a [Ir(CO)_2(COC)](PF_6)$  (1 mol% catalyst loading) towards the catalytic cyclization of 4pentynoic acid to form  $\gamma$ -methyl-ene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone, under these reaction conditions, at 80 °C in CD<sub>3</sub>CN for 1 hour (Table 1, entries 1-2). Full conversion of the alkynoic acid was observed for both after one hour. Encouraged by the improved rates of formation of the alkylidene lactone product compared to the tetranuclear Ir(I) derivative,<sup>[31]</sup> we studied the reaction at lower temperatures. In this case, longer reaction times were required when shifting from 80°C down to 60°C, 40°C and 25°C respectively (Table 1, entries 3-8). As graphically shown in Figure 2, 1a [lr(cod)(COC)](PF<sub>6</sub>) outperformed catalyst 2a with dicarbonyl ancillary ligands, in all cases.



Figure 2. Time-dependent reaction profile for the catalytic formation of ymethylene-y-butyrolactone at different temperatures 25, 40, 60 and 80 °C, using catalyst 1a (blue lines) and 2a (red lines) (1 mol% lr)

Confirmation of the superior catalytic performance of 1a over 2a was found when decreasing the catalyst loading to 0.5 mol%. Full conversion was achieved by catalyst 1a in 2 hours (Table 1, entry 9), whereas complex 2a required 3 hours. When the substrate amount was doubled while keeping catalyst loading constant to afford a final catalyst concentration of 0.25 mol%, full conversion was achieved after 2.4 hours for catalyst 1a, in contrast to the 3 hours needed for complex 2a to reach full conversion (Table 1, entries 11 and 12). Similarly, at catalyst loading of 0.25 mol%, 1a achieved full conversion after 3.5 hours while catalyst 2a required 6 hours (entries 13 and 14). With a catalyst loading of 0.1 mol%, full conversion was attained after 10.5 hours for catalyst 1a, which is almost a third of the time needed by catalyst 2a to reach the 93% yield (Table 1, entries 15 and 16). Finally, reducing the catalyst loading to 0.01% with respect to the metal for both metal complexes prolonged the reaction time significantly achieving only 74 and 60% yield for 1a and 2a, respectively, after 110 hours.

## WILEY ... VCH

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Table 1. Cyclization of 4-pentynoic acid 5a catalyzed by 1a and 2a<sup>[a]</sup>

HO		[cat]		. 0.	H	
0		CD <sub>3</sub> C	CD <sub>3</sub> CN, 25-80°C			
	5a				6a	
Entry	Catalyst	Ir (mol %)	Temp (°)	Time (h)	Yield (%) <sup>[c]</sup>	
1	1a	1	80	1	>99	
2	2a	1	80	1	>99	
3	1a	1	60	2.75	>99	
4	2a	1	60	3	>99	
5	1a	1	40	7.25	>99	
6	2a	1	40	8.75	>99	
7	1a	1	25	54	>99	
8	2a	1	25	127	96	
9	1a	0.5	80	2	>99	
10	2a	0.5	80	3	>99	
11 <sup>[b]</sup>	1a	0.25	80	2.4	>99	
12 <sup>[b]</sup>	2a	0.25	80	3	>99	
13	1a	0.25	80	3.5	>99	
14	2a	0.25	80	6	>99	
15	1a	0.1	80	10.5	>99	
16	2a	0.1	80	31	93	
17	1a	0.01	80	110	72	
18	2a	0.01	80	110	62	

[a] Reaction Conditions: J. Young NMR tube loaded with 4-pentynoic acid 5a 0.5 mmol, CD<sub>3</sub>CN (0.75 mL). [b] 1 mmol substrate. [c] Yields were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard.

Once we had found the optimal reaction conditions (Table 1, entries 15 and 16), we then evaluated the catalytic performance of the Rh(I) analogues [Rh(L)(**COC**)]PF<sub>6</sub> **1b** (L = cod) and **2b** (L = (CO)<sub>2</sub>) along with the dimetallic Ir(I) and Rh(I) **3**–**4** with formula  $[M_2(L)_2CI_2(\mu$ -**COC**)] (M = Ir, Rh; L = cod, (CO)<sub>2</sub>) depicted in Figure

1, under the optimal reaction conditions of 80 °C and 0.1 mol % (metal content) catalyst loading.

From inspection of Table 2, it can be concluded that the cationic monometallic Rh(I) complexes **1b** [Rh(cod)(**COC**)](PF<sub>6</sub>) and **2b** [Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(**COC**)]PF<sub>6</sub> are less effective than their iridium counterparts; requiring 40 and 60 hours, respectively, to reach 82% yield (entries 2 and 4).

 Table 2. Catalytic cyclization of 4-pentynoic acid 5a catalyzed by 1-4<sup>[a]</sup>

Entry	Catalyst	Time (h)	Yield <sup>[b]</sup>
1	1a [lr(cod)(COC)](PF <sub>6</sub> )	10.5	>99
2	<b>1b</b> [Rh(cod)( <b>COC</b> )](PF <sub>6</sub> )	40	82
3	<b>2a</b> [lr(CO) <sub>2</sub> ( <b>COC</b> )](PF <sub>6</sub> )	31	93
4	2b [Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (COC)](PF <sub>6</sub> )	60	82
5	<b>3a</b> [lr <sub>2</sub> (cod) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (μ- <b>COC</b> )]	16	>99
6	<b>3b</b> [Rh <sub>2</sub> (cod) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (μ- <b>COC</b> )]	40	56
7	<b>4a</b> [lr <sub>2</sub> (CO) <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (μ- <b>COC</b> )]	31	82
8	<b>4b</b> [Rh <sub>2</sub> (CO) <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (μ- <b>COC</b> )]	40	38

[a] Reaction Conditions: J. Young NMR tube loaded with 4-pentynoic acid **5a** 0.5 mmol, CD<sub>3</sub>CN (0.75 mL). [b] Yields were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard.

Next, we tested the dinuclear Ir(I) and Rh(I) complexes **3a,b** and **4a,b** at 0.05 mol% catalyst loading (0.1 mol with respect to the metal). In this case, the iridium complex **3a** [Ir<sub>2</sub>(cod)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -COC)] achieved full conversion after 16 hours while the tetracarbonyl derivative **4a** [Ir<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -COC)] required 31 hours to reach 82% yield (entries 5 and 7). In line with the previous observations, both dinuclear rhodium derivatives resulted in less effective catalytic conversion than the related iridium derivatives and their respective monometallic counterparts.



Figure 3. Time-dependent reaction profile for the catalytic formation of  $\gamma$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone using catalyst 1a at different catalyst loading (0.1-1 mol%).

These results indicate that greater reaction rates were observed for **1a** [Ir(cod)(**COC**)](PF<sub>6</sub>) when compared to the Rh(I) and Ir(I) examples previously described in the literature.<sup>[32,35]</sup> In addition, it is important to highlight that for this particular transformation, and in contrast to our previous findings for the alkyne hydrothiolation, monometallic complex **1a** bearing the bidentate carbon-ethercarbon (COC) ligand outperformed its dinuclear derivative **3a** [Ir<sub>2</sub>(cod)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -**COC**)]. Based on these results, we decided to evaluate the activity of the four iridium(I) complexes **1a-4a** 

### WILEY ... VCH

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

towards the cyclization of 5-hexynoic acid (see Table S1 ESI). Unfortunately, none of them were efficient in catalyzing the formation of the expected six-membered cyclic product. This outcome is in line with the previously described iridium-catalyzed examples.<sup>[34,47,48]</sup> The improved catalytic performance of the mononuclear iridium catalyst **1a** compared to the dinuclear derivative (**3a**) prompted us to explore the reaction profiles dependence upon catalyst concentration **1a** within the range (1, 0.5, 0.25 and 0.1 mol%) (see Figure 3). As expected, the representation clearly indicates that the reaction outcome depends directly on catalyst concentration.

Finally, given the observed catalytic performance of **1a** towards the 4-pentynoic acid **5a** to form the five-membered cyclic product  $\gamma$ -methyl-ene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone **6a** (Table 3, entry 1), the tolerance





of different other substituents at the  $\alpha$  position of the acid group like esters **5b-c** (entries 2 and 3), benzyl **5d** (entry 4) and a propargyl group **5e** was evaluated (entry 5). In most cases, the corresponding products **6b-e** were obtained in good to quantitative yields except for substrate **5c** bearing a methyl group at the alkyne that bucks the trend. The latter result confirms that the process is limited to the use of terminal alkynes. Furthermore, a tandem reaction was attempted using the double acid-double alkyne **5f** that led to the double spiro-lactone compound **6f** in 23% yield as the minor product (entry 6) along with the decarboxylated product **7f** in 68% yield as the major product. Decarboxylation of **5f** to **7f** has previously been observed for the copper-catalyzed cyclization under basic conditions.<sup>[23]</sup>

The kinetic profile of this catalyzed reaction is similar to that of the two previously reported iridium-catalyzed alkynoic acid cyclizations, where the reaction is order is 1 with respect to the catalyst and is accompanied by a zeroth order dependence with respect to the substrates.<sup>[16,19]</sup> This leads to the presumption that a similar reaction mechanism could be operative in this case with the substrate coordinated to the coordination sphere of the metal in the resting state of the catalytic cycle (see Scheme 2).<sup>[19]</sup>

For rhodium-catalyzed intermolecular cyclizations with bimolecular substrates carboxylic acids and alkynes, a corresponding kinetic profile was demonstrated and it was shown that this substrate coordination involves firstly alkyne coordination to the metal center, followed by electrophilic attack of the terminal carbon at the metal-bound alkyne; in contrast to previously accepted mechanisms involving oxidative addition of the carboxylic acid O-H to the metal.[52] In the case of iridiumcatalyzed cyclizations, a similar first step of alkyne-coordination (A in Scheme 2) has been confirmed by both experimental and computational investigations.[32,36] However, the mechanism of propargylic protonation, namely intramolecular oxidative addition



[a] Reaction Conditions: NMR tube loaded with substrate 0.5 mmol, CD<sub>3</sub>CN (0.75 mL) and catalyst **1a** (0.1 mol%), and reaction performed at 80 °C under stirring. [b] Yields were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard and on an average of two duplicates.

**Scheme 2.** Previously proposed catalytic cycle for intramolecular alkynoic acid cyclisation mediated by rhodium and iridium(I) catalyst precursors.<sup>[36,49,50]</sup>

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



Figure 4. Computed reaction profile for the conversion of 5a into 6a catalyzed by Ir(I)-complex INT1. Relative free energies (DG) and bond distances are given in kcal/mol and angstroms, respectively. All data have been computed at the PCM(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)-B3LYP-D3/def2-TZVPP//PCM(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)-B3LYP-D3/def2-SVP level

of acid O–H bond to form Ir[III]-hydride intermediate **B**, or alternatively, direct protonation of terminal alkyne carbon with carboxylic acid proton to yield intermediate **C** on the way to iridium(III) catalytic intermediate **D** (Scheme 2), remains ambiguous for iridium-based catalyzed intramolecular cyclisation. [36,52]

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations at the dispersion corrected PCM(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)-B3LYP-D3/def2-TZVPP//PCM(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)-B3LYP-D3/def2-SVP level (see computational details in the supporting information) were carried out to gain more insight into the mechanism involved in the transformation. To this end, we INT3 (species B in Scheme 2) through TS1, a saddle point associated with the intramolecular oxidative addition of the O-H two alternative pathways can be envisaged, namely (i) cyclization (i.e. C-O bond formation) followed by reductive elimination or (ii) C-H bond formation followed by cyclization (forming intermediate D as outlined in Scheme 2). Our calculations indicate that the latter pathway is unfeasible given the rather high computed barrier for the hydride migration (DG<sup>≠</sup> ca. 40 kcal/mol kcal/mol). Instead, we found that the INT3 can be easily transformed in INT4 via TS2, a saddle point associated with the formation of the five-membered ring, with a feasible barrier of 21.7 kcal/mol. Finally, facile reductive elimination through TS3 (DG<sup>≠</sup> = 12.0 kcal/mol) produces the lactone 6a with concomitant release of the active catalyst, which may enter a new catalytic cycle. The exergonicity of the last computed the reaction profile for the conversion of the 5a into 6a catalyzed by a model of Ir(I)-catalyst 1a where the bulky Dipp substituents were replaced by phenyl groups (Figure 4).

Our calculations confirm that the reaction begins with the coordination of the triple bond of the alkyne to the active catalyst

INT1 to produce intermediate INT2 in a highly exergonic step (DG = -12.1 kcal/mol). This species evolves into Ir(III)-intermediate step (DG = -4.9 kcal/mol) compensates for the slight endergonicity of the previous cyclization reaction, thus driving the transformation forward.

#### Conclusion

The catalytic activity of a series of mono- and bimetallic rhodium(I) and iridium(I) complexes bearing the carbon-ether-carbon (COC) ligand was evaluated against the cyclization of 4-pentynoic acid to form  $\gamma$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone. In this case, and in contrast to the previously reported related rhodium(I) derivatives, monometallic 1a complex [Ir(cod)(COC)]PF6 resulted as the bestperforming catalyst, outperforming its dinuclear counterparts and those rhodium and iridium catalysts reported in the literature to date. The study of time-dependent reaction profiles for this catalyst at different concentrations, suggests that the reaction order with respect to the catalyst is one. The transformation is compatible with different functional groups in the initial substrate but limited to terminal alkynes. According to the DFT calculations, the process begins with the coordination of the alkyne moiety to the active catalyst followed by intramolecular cyclization and final reductive elimination.

#### Experimental

The standard operating procedure for the cyclization of 4pentynoic acid reactions performed in this study is as follows:

5

10990690, ja, Downloaded from https://chemistry-europe.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ejc

Online Library

on [18/07/2024]. See th

ons) on Wiley Online Library for rules

of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

To a Young NMR tube loaded with 4-pentynoic acid **5a** 0.5 mmol, 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard and the corresponding catalyst **1-4** or **5-6** at 0.1 mol% catalyst loading was added CD<sub>3</sub>CN (0.75 mL). After <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy was performed at t = 0, then, a stirring bar was added under inert atmosphere, the Young NMR tube was placed in an oil bath and heated to 80°C. The stirring bar was removed, and the yields were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard.

#### **Supporting Information**

The authors have cited additional references within the Supporting Information. <sup>[51-71]</sup>

#### Acknowledgements

H.B. and D.I.B. gratefully acknowledge support from the Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation (Grant 200030), the Tauno Tönning Foundation and the Alfred Kordelin Foundation, Finland for financial support. I. F. is grateful for financial support from the Spanish MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 (PID2022-139318NB-I00 and RED2022-134287-T). GGB gratefully acknowledges (RYC2019-026693I/AEI/10.13039/501100011033) "El Fondo Social Europeo invierte en tu futuro". E.S and GGB are grateful for PID2021-122900NB-I00 funded by MICIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/FEDER "Una manera de hacer Europa" and DGA/FEDER, UE (GA/FEDER, Arquitectura Molecular Inorgánica y Aplicaciones, Group E50\_23R). The authors would like to acknowledge the use of 'Servicio General de Apoyo a la Investigación-SAI, Universidad de Zaragoza'.

Keywords: Carbenes •Catalysis •Iridium •Lactones • Rhodium

- H. Imawaka, T. Hasegawa, S. Sakuyama, Y. Kawanaka, T. Akiyama, M. Hoshikawa, S. Matsuda, O. P. C. Ltd, Branched Carboxylic Acid Compound and Use Thereof, 2009.
- [2] M. J. Gallen, C. M. Williams, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 4697–4705.
- [3] C. Chapuis, D. Jacoby, Applied Catalysis A: General 2001, 221, 93–117.
- [4] N. J. Willis, C. D. Bray, RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 80212-80215.
- [5] Y. Ogawa, M. Kato, I. Sasaki, H. Sugimura, J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 12315– 12319.
- [6] R. Wu, J. Li, Y. Wang, Z. Quan, Y. Su, C. Huo, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, 361, 3436–3440.
- [7] B. J. Quentin, Z. Ran, Production of Protoanemonin by Oxidation of Levulinic Acid or Angelicalactone Isomers, 2021, US20210214325A1.
- [8] B. L. Oliveira, B. J. Stenton, V. B. Unnikrishnan, C. R. de Almeida, J. Conde, M. Negrão, F. S. S. Schneider, C. Cordeiro, M. G. Ferreira, G. F. Caramori, J. B. Domingos, R. Fior, G. J. L. Bernardes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, 142, 10869–10880.
- [9] W. Fumitaka, K. Yuji, T. Masahiro, N. Tomohisa, Polyacrylonitrile-based polymer, carbon-fiber-precursor fibers, and process for producing carbon fibers, 2016, WO2016151872A1.

- [10] M. A. Naji, B. Puértolas, B. Kumru, D. Cruz, M. Bäumel, B. V. K. J. Schmidt, N. V. Tarakina, J. P. Ramírez, *ChemSusChem* **2019**, *12*, 2628–2636.
- [11] M. G. Al-Shaal, W. Ciptonugroho, F. J. Holzhäuser, J. B. Mensah, P. J. C. Hausoul, R. Palkovits, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *5*, 5168–5173.
- [12] M. G. Al-Shaal, P. J. C. Hausoul, R. Palkovits, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 10206–10209.
- [13] W. R. H. Wright, R. Palkovits, ChemSusChem 2012, 5, 1657–1667.
- [14] J. García-Álvarez, J. Díez, C. Vidal, Green Chem. 2012, 14, 3190-3196.
- [15] B. Saavedra, J. M. Pérez, M. J. Rodríguez-Álvarez, J. García-Álvarez, D. J. Ramón, Green Chem. 2018, 20, 2151–2157.
- [16] J. Monot, P. Brunel, C. E. Kefalidis, N. Á. Espinosa-Jalapa, L. Maron, B. Martin-Vaca, D. Bourissou, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, 7, 2179–2187.
- [17] N. Á. Espinosa-Jalapa, D. Ke, N. Nebra, L. L. Goanvic, S. Mallet-Ladeira, J. Monot, B. Martin-Vaca, D. Bourissou, ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 3605–3611.
- [18] N. Nebra, J. Monot, R. Shaw, B. Martin-Vaca, D. Bourissou, ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 2930–2934.
- [19] T. Wakabayashi, Y. Ishii, K. Ishikawa, M. Hidai, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 2123–2124.
- [20] C. Lambert, K. Utimoto, H. Nozaki, Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5323-5326.
- [21] J. Alemán, V. del Solar, L. Cubo, A. G. Quiroga, C. N. Ranninger, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, 39, 10601–10607.
- [22] J. Alemán, V. del Solar, C. Navarro-Ranninger, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 454–456.
- [23] C. Sun, Y. Fang, S. Li, Y. Zhang, Q. Zhao, S. Zhu, C. Li, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4084–4087.
- [24] E. Genin, P. Y. Toullec, S. Antoniotti, C. Brancour, J.-P. Genêt, V. Michelet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3112–3113.
- [25] F. Neaţu, Z. Li, R. Richards, P. Y. Toullec, J. Genêt, K. Dumbuya, J. M. Gottfried, H. Steinrück, V. I. Pârvulescu, V. Michelet, *Chem. A Eur. J.* 2008, *14*, 9412–9418.
- [26] E. Genin, P. Y. Toullec, P. Marie, S. Antoniotti, C. Brancour, J.-P. Genêt, V. Michelet, Arkivoc, 2007, 67–78.
- [27] M. J. Rodríguez-Álvarez, C. Vidal, J. Díez, J. García-Álvarez, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 12927–12929.
- [28] K. Eriksson, O. Verho, L. Nyholm, S. Oscarsson, J. Bäckvall, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2015, 2250–2255.
- [29] D. Gasperini, L. Maggi, S. Dupuy, R. M. P. Veenboer, D. B. Cordes, A. M. Z. Slawin, S. P. Nolan, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2016**, *358*, 3857–3862.
- [30] L. Deiana, E. Badali, A. A. Rafi, C.-W. Tai, J.-E. Bäckvall, A. Córdova, ACS Catal. 2023, 13, 10418–10424.
- [31] N. V. Tzouras, L. P. Zorba, E. Kaplanai, N. Tsoureas, D. J. Nelson, S. P. Nolan, G. C. Vougioukalakis, ACS Catal. 2023, 13, 8845–8860.
- [32] A. Gutiérrez-Blanco, E. Peris, M. Poyatos, Organometallics 2018, 37, 4070–4076.
- [33] S. W. S. Choy, M. J. Page, M. Bhadbhade, B. A. Messerle, Organometallics 2013, 32, 4726–4729.
- [34] D. M. T. Chan, T. B. Marder, D. Milstein, N. J. Taylor, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 6385–6388.
- [35] C. Ruiz-Zambrana, A. Gutiérrez-Blanco, S. Gonell, M. Poyatos, E. Peris, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 20003–20011.
- [36] Y. Huang, X. Zhang, X. Dong, X. Zhang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2020, 362, 782– 788.
- [37] E. Mas-Marzá, J. A. Mata, E. Peris, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3729– 3731.
- [38] Á. Vivancos, C. Segarra, M. Albrecht, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 9493-9586.
- [39] G. Guisado-Barrios, M. Soleilhavoup, G. Bertrand, Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51, 3236–3244.



### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

- [40] G. Guisado-Barrios, J. Bouffard, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, Organometallics 2011, 30, 6017–6021.
- [41] G. Guisado-Barrios, J. Bouffard, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4759–4762.
- [42] J. Bouffard, B. K. Keitz, R. Tonner, G. Guisado-Barrios, G. Frenking, R. H. Grubbs, G. Bertrand, Organometallics 2011, 30, 2617–2627.
- [43] P. Mathew, A. Neels, M. Albrecht, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13534– 13535.
- [44] K. F. Donnelly, A. Petronilho, M. Albrecht, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 1145–1159.
- [45] L. C. Tolley, I. Strydom, W. J. Louw, M. A. Fernandes, D. I. Bezuidenhout, G. Guisado-Barrios, ACS Omega 2019, 4, 6360–6374.
- [46] L. C. Tolley, I. Fernández, D. I. Bezuidenhout, G. Guisado-Barrios, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2020, *11*, 516–523.
- [47] S. Elgafi, L. D. Field, B. A. Messerle, J. Organom. Chem. 2000, 607, 97– 104.
- [48] E. Mas-Marzá, M. Sanaú, E. Peris, Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 9961–9967.
- [49] U. Gellrich, A. Meißner, A. Steffani, M. Kähny, H.-J. Drexler, D. Heller, D. A. Plattner, B. Breit, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 1097–1104.
- [50] P. Koschker, M. Kähny, B. Breit, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3131–3137.

Licens

### WILEY ... VCH

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

### Entry for the Table of Contents



The catalytic activity of a set of mono- and bimetallic Rh(I) and Ir(I) complexes featuring carbene-linker-carbene (COC) bis-triazolylidene ligands coordinated in a bridging or chelating fashion was assessed in the cyclization of 4-pentynoic acid.

Institute and/or researcher Twitter usernames: @isra\_group; @isqch\_cat\_mech